

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 05-502V
Filed: May 5, 2011

**LAWRENCE R. PRITCHARD and
TRACY PRITCHARD, Parents and
Legal Representative of a Minor Child,
ZABORT PRITCHARD,**

Petitioners,

v.

**SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN
SERVICES**

Respondent.

ORDER CONCLUDING PROCEEDINGS¹

On April 29, 2011, the parties filed a Voluntary Dismissal in accordance with Vaccine Rule 21(a). Vaccine Rule 21(a) provides that: “A petition dismissed under this subsection will not result in a judgment pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11 for purposes of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-21(a).”

By this order, the undersigned notifies the Clerk of this Court that proceedings in this petition are now concluded, but no judgment should be entered by the Clerk’s office.²

¹ The Clerk of this Court is hereby instructed that this Order concludes proceedings on the merits of this Vaccine Act petition, but does not constitute a “decision as contemplated by 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-12(d)(3)(A). **The Clerk shall not enter judgment.**

² Because this unpublished Order contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website, in

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Patricia E. Campbell-Smith
Special Master

accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, § 205, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (codified as amended at 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2006)). In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), a party has 14 days to identify and move to delete medical or other information, that satisfies the criteria in § 300aa-12(d)(4)(B). Further, consistent with the rule requirement, a motion for redaction must include a proposed redacted decision. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within the requirements of that provision, I will delete such material from public access.