## **OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS**

No. 02-1391V

Filed: April 26, 2006

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

************	**	
LISA DUFF-DUGAN,		
ŕ	*	
Petitioner,	*	
	*	
V.	*	Attorney's Fees; Costs
	*	•
SECRETARY OF THE DEPARTMENT	*	
OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,		
	*	
Respondent.	*	
•	*	
***********	***	

## ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS DECISION<sup>1</sup>

On October 3, 2005, petitioner filed her Motion for Attorney's Fees and Reimbursement of Expenses in compliance with General Order #9. On April 24, 2006, respondent's counsel orally informed the court that respondent does not object to petitioner's request of \$6081.25 in attorney's fees and \$1,873.08 in costs. Accordingly, the court finds that an award of \$6081.25 in fees and \$1,873.08 in costs is appropriate. The award shall be made payable jointly to petitioner and her attorney.

The clerk shall enter judgment accordingly.<sup>2</sup>

IΤ	IS	SO	ORD	ERED
----	----	----	-----	------

Gary J. Golkiewicz Chief Special Master

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The undersigned intends to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims's website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, Pub. L. No. 107-347, 116 Stat. 2899, 2913 (Dec. 17, 2002). As provided by Vaccine Rule 18(b), each party has 14 days within which to request redaction "of any information furnished by that party (1) that is trade secret or commercial or financial information and is privileged or confidential, or (2) that are medical files and similar files the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). Otherwise, "the entire" decision will be available to the public. <u>Id.</u>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, 42 U.S.C.A. §300aa-15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) which would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally, Beck v. Secretary of HHS, 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir. 1991).