

Further, the fees decision addresses not only the facts of this case, but the facts of two additional cases brought by Petitioner on behalf of her other children. Those cases were consolidated with this one, and present many common facts and issues. The fees decision in this case can best be understood with reference to the names of Petitioner and the vaccinees in the other cases.

I am sympathetic to Petitioner's request for privacy, and I certainly would have granted redaction of the entitlement decision had I received a timely request. Regrettably, I cannot in this instance undo what has been done.¹ Since redaction of the fees decision would not protect Petitioner's privacy interest in any meaningful way, the motion to redact is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Dee Lord
Dee Lord
Chief Special Master

¹ In the electronic era, when third parties disseminate decisions almost immediately upon publication, it is impossible effectively to "un-publish" them.